

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,187	12/16/2005	Satoshi Araki	277514US6PCT	3916	
23850 7550 09/15/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.,P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SU, SARAH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2431	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561.187 ARAKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2431 Sarah Su All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sarah Su. (2) Michael Gellner (27,256). (4)____. Date of Interview: 10 September 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Imazu (US 2002/0087892 A1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed claim 1 with reference to Imazu. Applicant's representative discussed that Imazu does not send only two transmissions, where the user identification is in one transmission and apparatus identification in the other transmission. Examiner suggested clarifying the claim language to distinguish from Imazu. A supplemental amendment should be filed as soon as possible with any clarifying amendments . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.